

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 IN RE: PORTFOLIO RECOVERY  
12 ASSOCIATES, LLC, TELEPHONE  
13 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT  
14 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:11-md-2295-JAH-BGS

15 **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**  
16 **3:10-cv-2658-JAH-BGS**  
17 **3:11-cv-1008-JAH-BGS**  
18 **3:11-cv-3057-JAH-BGS**

**ORDER GRANTING  
NATIONWIDE PLAINTIFFS' EX  
PARTE MOTION TO VACATE  
HEARING ON DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO  
CONDUCT JURISDICTIONAL  
DISCOVERY**

19 On June 22, 2012, plaintiffs from the Allen, Myer, Frydman actions ("Nationwide  
20 Plaintiffs") filed a consolidated class action complaint. (Dkt No. 36.) On July 20, 2012,  
21 defendants named in the consolidated complaint, Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC  
22 ("PRA, LLC") and Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. ("PRA, Inc.") ("Defendants") filed  
23 a motion to dismiss portions of the consolidated complaint. (Dkt No. 43.) On August  
24 3, 2012, the Nationwide Plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion to take Defendants' motion  
25 to dismiss off calendar and to lift the discovery stay in this matter to the extent necessary  
26 to permit jurisdictional discovery as it relates to PRA, Inc. (Dkt No. 46.) Defendants filed  
27 a response to Nationwide Plaintiffs' ex parte motion, stating they did not oppose the ex  
28 parte motion, except Defendants requested an opportunity to continue to meet and confer

1 regarding the scope of jurisdictional discovery. (Dkt No. 47.) Plaintiffs filed a reply to  
2 Defendants' opposition. (Dkt. No. 48.)

3 Having considered the parties' submissions, the Court finds good cause to grant the  
4 Nationwide Plaintiffs' ex parte motion. Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 5 1. The Nationwide Plaintiffs' ex parte motion, (Dkt No. 46), is **GRANTED**;
- 6 2. The hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss, (Dkt No. 43), set for  
7 September 17, 2012, is **VACATED** until further order of this Court;
- 8 3. The Nationwide Plaintiffs are permitted to conduct discovery regarding the  
9 jurisdictional facts asserted in Defendants' motion to dismiss; and
- 10 4. Magistrate Judge Skomal is directed to schedule a discovery conference  
11 regarding the scope of jurisdictional discovery.

12  
13 Dated: August 10, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN A. HOUSTON  
United States District Judge